

ALMOST CONTACT B-METRIC STRUCTURES AND THE BIANCHI CLASSIFICATION OF THE THREE-DIMENSIONAL LIE ALGEBRAS

HRISTO M. MANEV

The object of investigation are the almost contact manifolds with B-metric in the lowest dimension three, constructed on Lie algebras. It is considered a relation between the classes in the Bianchi classification of three-dimensional real Lie algebras and the classes of a classification of the considered manifolds. There are studied some geometrical characteristics in some special classes.

Keywords. Almost contact structure, B-metric, Lie group, Lie algebra, indefinite metric

2010 Math. Subject Classification. 53C15, 53C50, 53D15

1. INTRODUCTION

The differential geometry of the manifolds equipped with an almost contact structure is well studied (e.g. [3]). The almost contact manifolds with B-metric are introduced and classified in [6]. These manifolds are the odd-dimensional counterpart of the almost complex manifolds with Norden metric [5, 7].

An object of special interest is the case of the lowest dimension of the considered manifolds. We investigate the almost contact B-metric manifolds in dimension three and get explicit results. Some curvature identities of the three-dimensional manifolds of this type are studied in [11, 12].

Almost contact manifolds with B-metric can be constructed on Lie algebras. It is known that all three-dimensional real Lie algebras are classified in [1, 2]. The main goal of this paper is to find a relation between the classes in the Bianchi classification and the classification of almost contact B-metric manifolds given in [6]. Moreover, the present work gives some geometrical characteristics of considered manifolds in certain special classes.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we recall some preliminary facts about the almost contact B-metric manifolds. In Sect. 3 we equip each Bianchi-type Lie algebra with an almost contact B-metric structure. In Sect. 4 we give the relation between the Bianchi classification and the classification given in [6]. Sect. 5 is devoted to the curvature properties of some of the considered manifolds.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost contact manifold with B-metric or an *almost contact B-metric manifold*, where M is a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold, (φ, ξ, η) is an almost contact structure consisting of an endomorphism φ of the tangent bundle, a Reeb vector field ξ and its dual contact 1-form η . Moreover, M is equipped with a pseudo-Riemannian metric g , called a *B-metric*, such that the following algebraic relations are satisfied [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\xi &= 0, & \varphi^2 &= -\text{Id} + \eta \otimes \xi, & \eta \circ \varphi &= 0, & \eta(\xi) &= 1, \\ g(\varphi x, \varphi y) &= -g(x, y) + \eta(x)\eta(y), \end{aligned}$$

where Id is the identity. In the latter equalities and further, x, y, z, w will stand for arbitrary elements of the algebra of the smooth vector fields on M or vectors in the tangent space $T_p M$ of M at an arbitrary point p in M .

The associated B-metric \tilde{g} of g is determined by $\tilde{g}(x, y) = g(x, \varphi y) + \eta(x)\eta(y)$. The manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, \tilde{g})$ is also an almost contact B-metric manifold. The signature of both metrics g and \tilde{g} is necessarily $(n + 1, n)$. We denote the Levi-Civita connection of g and \tilde{g} by ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$, respectively.

A classification of almost contact B-metric manifolds, consisting of eleven basic classes $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{11}$, is given in [6]. This classification is made with respect to the tensor F of type (0,3) defined by

$$F(x, y, z) = g((\nabla_x \varphi) y, z) \quad (2.1)$$

and having the following properties:

$$F(x, y, z) = F(x, z, y) = F(x, \varphi y, \varphi z) + \eta(y)F(x, \xi, z) + \eta(z)F(x, y, \xi).$$

The special class determined by the condition $F(x, y, z) = 0$ is denoted by \mathcal{F}_0 . This class is the intersection of all the basic classes. Hence \mathcal{F}_0 is the class of almost contact B-metric manifolds with ∇ -parallel structures, i.e. $\nabla \varphi = \nabla \xi = \nabla \eta = \nabla g = \nabla \tilde{g} = 0$. Therefore \mathcal{F}_0 is the class of the *cosymplectic manifolds with B-metric*.

According to [10], the *square norm* of $\nabla \varphi$ is defined by:

$$\|\nabla \varphi\|^2 = g^{ij} g^{ks} g((\nabla_{e_i} \varphi) e_k, (\nabla_{e_j} \varphi) e_s). \quad (2.2)$$

It is clear, $\|\nabla \varphi\|^2 = 0$ is valid if $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a cosymplectic manifold with B-metric, but the inverse implication is not always true. An almost contact B-metric

manifold having a zero square norm of $\nabla\varphi$ is called an *isotropic-cosymplectic B-metric manifold*.

If $\{e_i; \xi\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$) is a basis of $T_p M$ and (g^{ij}) is the inverse matrix of (g_{ij}) , then the 1-forms θ, θ^*, ω , called *Lee forms*, are associated with F and defined by:

$$\theta(z) = g^{ij} F(e_i, e_j, z), \quad \theta^*(z) = g^{ij} F(e_i, \varphi e_j, z), \quad \omega(z) = F(\xi, \xi, z).$$

Let now consider the case of the lowest dimension of the almost contact B-metric manifold M , i.e. $\dim M = 3$.

We introduce an almost contact structure (φ, ξ, η) on M defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi e_1 &= e_2, & \varphi e_2 &= -e_1, & \varphi e_3 &= 0, & \xi &= e_3, \\ \eta(e_1) &= \eta(e_2) = 0, & \eta(e_3) &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

and a B-metric g such that

$$g(e_1, e_1) = -g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_3) = 1, \quad g(e_i, e_j) = 0, \quad i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Let us denote the components $F_{ijk} = F(e_i, e_j, e_k)$ of F with respect to a φ -basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of $T_p M$.

According to [8], the components of the Lee forms are

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &= F_{111} - F_{221}, & \theta_2 &= F_{112} - F_{211}, & \theta_3 &= F_{113} - F_{223}, \\ \theta_1^* &= F_{112} + F_{211}, & \theta_2^* &= F_{111} + F_{221}, & \theta_3^* &= F_{123} + F_{213}, \\ \omega_1 &= F_{331}, & \omega_2 &= F_{332}, & \omega_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, if F_s ($s = 1, 2, \dots, 11$) are the components of F in the corresponding basic classes \mathcal{F}_s and $x = x^i e_i, y = y^j e_j, z = z^k e_k$ for arbitrary vectors in $T_p M$, we have [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x, y, z) &= (x^1 \theta_1 - x^2 \theta_2) (y^1 z^1 + y^2 z^2), \\ \theta_1 &= F_{111} = F_{122}, & \theta_2 &= -F_{211} = -F_{222}; \\ F_2(x, y, z) &= F_3(x, y, z) = 0; \\ F_4(x, y, z) &= \frac{1}{2} \theta_3 \{ x^1 (y^3 z^1 + y^1 z^3) - x^2 (y^3 z^2 + y^2 z^3) \}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \theta_3 &= F_{131} = F_{113} = -F_{232} = -F_{223}; \\ F_5(x, y, z) &= \frac{1}{2} \theta_3^* \{ x^1 (y^3 z^2 + y^2 z^3) + x^2 (y^3 z^1 + y^1 z^3) \}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \theta_3^* &= F_{132} = F_{123} = F_{231} = F_{213}; \\ F_6(x, y, z) &= F_7(x, y, z) = 0; \\ F_8(x, y, z) &= \lambda \{ x^1 (y^3 z^1 + y^1 z^3) + x^2 (y^3 z^2 + y^2 z^3) \}, \\ \lambda &= F_{131} = F_{113} = F_{232} = F_{223}; \\ F_9(x, y, z) &= \mu \{ x^1 (y^3 z^2 + y^2 z^3) - x^2 (y^3 z^1 + y^1 z^3) \}, \\ \mu &= F_{132} = F_{123} = -F_{231} = -F_{213}; \\ F_{10}(x, y, z) &= \nu x^3 (y^1 z^1 + y^2 z^2), & \nu &= F_{311} = F_{322}; \\ F_{11}(x, y, z) &= x^3 \{ (y^1 z^3 + y^3 z^1) \omega_1 + (y^2 z^3 + y^3 z^2) \omega_2 \}, \\ \omega_1 &= F_{313} = F_{331}, & \omega_2 &= F_{323} = F_{332}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Obviously, the class of three-dimensional almost contact B-metric manifolds is

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_5 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_9 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{11}.$$

Let $R = [\nabla, \nabla] - \nabla[\cdot, \cdot]$ be the curvature (1,3)-tensor of ∇ . The corresponding curvature (0,4)-tensor is denoted by the same letter: $R(x, y, z, w) = g(R(x, y)z, w)$. The following properties are valid:

$$\begin{aligned} R(x, y, z, w) &= -R(y, x, z, w) = -R(x, y, w, z), \\ R(x, y, z, w) + R(y, z, x, w) + R(z, x, y, w) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is known from [11] that every 3-dimensional cosymplectic B-metric manifold is flat, i.e. $R = 0$.

The Ricci tensor ρ and the scalar curvature τ for R as well as their associated quantities are defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(y, z) &= g^{ij} R(e_i, y, z, e_j), & \tau &= g^{ij} \rho(e_i, e_j), \\ \rho^*(y, z) &= g^{ij} R(e_i, y, z, \varphi e_j), & \tau^* &= g^{ij} \rho^*(e_i, e_j), \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$ is an arbitrary basis of $T_p M$.

Let α be a non-degenerate 2-plane (section) in $T_p M$. It is known that the special 2-planes with respect to (φ, ξ, η, g) are: a *totally real section* if α is orthogonal to its φ -image $\varphi\alpha$, a *φ -holomorphic section* if α coincides with $\varphi\alpha$ and a *ξ -section* if ξ lies on α .

The sectional curvature $k(\alpha; p)(R)$ of α with an arbitrary basis $\{x, y\}$ at p is defined by

$$k(\alpha; p)(R) = \frac{R(x, y, y, x)}{g(x, x)g(y, y) - g(x, y)^2}.$$

According to [9], it is reasonable to call a manifold M whose Ricci tensor satisfies the condition

$$\rho = \lambda g + \mu \tilde{g} + \nu \eta \otimes \eta$$

an *η -complex-Einstein manifold*.

3. EQUIPPING OF EACH BIANCHI-TYPE LIE ALGEBRA WITH ALMOST CONTACT B-METRIC STRUCTURE

It is known that L. Bianchi has categorized all three-dimensional real (and complex) Lie algebras. He proved that every three-dimensional Lie algebra is isomorphic to one, and only one, Lie algebra of his list (cf. [1, 2]). These isomorphism classes form the so-called Bianchi classification and are noted by Bia(I), Bia(II), Bia(IV), Bia(V), Bia(VI_h) ($h \leq 0$), Bia(VII_h) ($h \geq 0$), Bia(VIII) and Bia(IX). The class Bia(III) coincides with Bia(VI₋₁). The following theorem introduces the Bianchi classification.

Theorem A. ([1, 2]) Let \mathfrak{l} be a real three-dimensional Lie algebra. Then \mathfrak{l} is isomorphic to exactly one of the following Lie algebras $(\mathbb{R}^3, [\cdot, \cdot])$, where the Lie bracket is given on the canonical basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ as follows:

Bia(I) :	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = o,$	$[e_3, e_1] = o;$
Bia(II) :	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1,$	$[e_3, e_1] = o;$
Bia(IV) :	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1 - e_2,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_1;$
Bia(V) :	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_2,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_1;$
Bia(VI _h) ($h \leq 0$) :	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1 - he_2,$	$[e_3, e_1] = he_1 - e_2;$
Bia(VII _h) ($h \geq 0$) :	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1 - he_2,$	$[e_3, e_1] = he_1 + e_2;$
Bia(VIII) :	$[e_1, e_2] = -e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_2;$
Bia(IX) :	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_2,$

where o is the zero vector of \mathfrak{l} .

The geometrization conjecture, associated with W. Thurston, states that every closed manifold of dimension three could be decomposed in a canonical way into pieces, connected to one of eight types of Thurston's geometric structures ([13]): Euclidean geometry E^3 , Spherical geometry S^3 , Hyperbolic geometry H^3 , the geometry of $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, the geometry of $H^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, the geometry of the universal cover $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ of the special linear group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, the *Nil* geometry, the *Solv* geometry.

Seven of the eight Thurston geometries can be associated to a class of the Bianchi classification as it is shown in the following table. The Thurston geometry on $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ has no such a realization (e.g. [4]).

TABLE 1. Relations between the Bianchi types and the Thurston geometries

Bia(I)	E^3	Bia(VI _{h<0})	
Bia(II)	<i>Nil</i>	Bia(VII ₀)	E^3
Bia(III)	$H^2 \times \mathbb{R}$	Bia(VII _{h>0})	
Bia(IV)		Bia(VIII)	$\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$
Bia(V)	H^3	Bia(IX)	S^3
Bia(VI ₀)	<i>Solv</i>		

Let us consider each Lie algebra from the Bianchi classification, equipped with an almost contact structure (φ, ξ, η) and a B-metric g as in (2.3) and (2.4).

The presence of the structure (φ, ξ, η, g) gives us a reason to consider the relation between the Bianchi types and the classification of almost contact B-metric manifolds in [6].

We obtain immediately the following

Proposition 3.1. Some Bianchi types can be equipped with a structure (φ, ξ, η, g) in several ways. In the cases Bia(I) and Bia(IX) there are only one variant. In the rest cases, there are three possible subtypes of each type differing each other by a cyclic change of the basic vectors e_1, e_2, e_3 . All subtypes are determined as follows:

TABLE 2. Equipping of the Bianchi types Lie algebras with a (φ, ξ, η, g) structure

Bia(I)			
(1)	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = o,$	$[e_3, e_1] = o$
Bia(II)			
(1)	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1,$	$[e_3, e_1] = o$
(2)	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = o,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_2$
(3)	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = o,$	$[e_3, e_1] = o$
Bia(III) \equiv Bia(VI ₋₁)			
(1)	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1 + e_2,$	$[e_3, e_1] = -e_1 - e_2$
(2)	$[e_1, e_2] = -e_2 - e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = o,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_2 + e_3$
(3)	$[e_1, e_2] = e_1 + e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = -e_1 - e_3,$	$[e_3, e_1] = o$
Bia(IV)			
(1)	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1 - e_2,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_1$
(2)	$[e_1, e_2] = e_2,$	$[e_2, e_3] = o,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_2 - e_3$
(3)	$[e_1, e_2] = -e_1 + e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_3,$	$[e_3, e_1] = o$
Bia(V)			
(1)	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_2,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_1$
(2)	$[e_1, e_2] = e_2,$	$[e_2, e_3] = o,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_3$
(3)	$[e_1, e_2] = e_1,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_3,$	$[e_3, e_1] = o$
Bia(VI _h), $h \leq 0$			
(1)	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1 - he_2,$	$[e_3, e_1] = he_1 - e_2$
(2)	$[e_1, e_2] = he_2 - e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = o,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_2 - he_3$
(3)	$[e_1, e_2] = -he_1 + e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = -e_1 + he_3,$	$[e_3, e_1] = o$
Bia(VII _h), $h \geq 0$			
(1)	$[e_1, e_2] = o,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1 - he_2,$	$[e_3, e_1] = he_1 + e_2$
(2)	$[e_1, e_2] = he_2 + e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = o,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_2 - he_3$
(3)	$[e_1, e_2] = -he_1 + e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1 + he_3,$	$[e_3, e_1] = o$
Bia(VIII)			
(1)	$[e_1, e_2] = -e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_2$
(2)	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = -e_1,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_2$
(3)	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1,$	$[e_3, e_1] = -e_2$
Bia(IX)			
(1)	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3,$	$[e_2, e_3] = e_1,$	$[e_3, e_1] = e_2$

4. ALMOST CONTACT B-METRIC MANIFOLDS OF EACH BIANCHI TYPE

Let us consider the Lie group L corresponding to the given Lie algebra \mathfrak{l} . Each definition of a Lie algebra for the different subtypes in Proposition 3.1 generates a corresponding almost contact B-metric manifold denoted by $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$. In this section we characterize the obtained manifolds with respect to the classification in [6].

Using (2.5), we obtain the corresponding components of F in each subtypes (1), (2), (3) in Proposition 3.1 and determine the corresponding class of almost contact B-metric manifolds. The results are given in the following

Theorem 4.1. The manifold $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$, determined by each type of Lie algebra given in Proposition 3.1, belongs to a class of the classification in [6] as it is given in the following table:

TABLE 3. Relations between the Bianchi types and the classes in [6]

Bia(I)			
(1)	\mathcal{F}_0		
Bia(II)			
(1)	$\mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}$		
(2)	$\mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}$		
(3)	$\mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}$		
Bia(III)			
(1)	$\mathcal{F}_5 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}$		
(2)	$\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{11}$		
(3)	$\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{11}$		
Bia(IV)			
(1)	$\mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_5 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}$		
(2)	$\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{11}$		
(3)	$\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{11}$		
Bia(V)			
(1)	\mathcal{F}_9		
(2)	$\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{11}$		
(3)	$\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{11}$		
Bia(VI ₀)			
(1)	\mathcal{F}_{10}		
(2)	$\mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8$		
(3)	$\mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}$		
		Bia(VI _h), $h < 0$	
(1)	$\mathcal{F}_5 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}$		
(2)	$\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{11}$		
(3)	$\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{11}$		
		Bia(VII ₀)	
(1)	\mathcal{F}_4		
(2)	$\mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}$		
(3)	$\mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8$		
		Bia(VII _h), $h > 0$	
(1)	$\mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_5$		
(2)	$\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{11}$		
(3)	$\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{11}$		
		Bia(VIII)	
(1)	$\mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}$		
(2)	$\mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}$		
(3)	$\mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}$		
		Bia(IX)	
(1)	$\mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}$		

Proof. We give our arguments for the case of Bia(II). In a similar way we prove the other cases.

Using Theorem A, Eq. (2.4) and the Koszul equality

$$2g(\nabla_{e_i} e_j, e_k) = g([e_i, e_j], e_k) + g([e_k, e_i], e_j) + g([e_k, e_j], e_i),$$

we obtain the components of the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of g . Then, by them, (2.1) and (2.3), we get the following nonzero components F_{ijk} and θ_k for the different subtypes:

- (1) $F_{113} = F_{131} = -F_{223} = -F_{232} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad F_{311} = F_{322} = -1, \quad \theta_3 = -1;$
- (2) $F_{113} = F_{131} = -F_{223} = -F_{232} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad F_{311} = F_{322} = 1, \quad \theta_3 = -1;$
- (3) $F_{113} = F_{131} = F_{223} = F_{232} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad F_{311} = F_{322} = 1.$

After that, bearing in mind (2.5), we conclude the corresponding class of each subtype of Bia(II) as follows:

- (1) $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g) \in \mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10};$
- (2) $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g) \in \mathcal{F}_4 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10};$
- (3) $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g) \in \mathcal{F}_8 \oplus \mathcal{F}_{10}. \quad \square$

5. CURVATURE PROPERTIES OF THE CONSIDERED MANIFOLDS IN SOME BIANCHI CLASSES

Now, we focuss our considerations on the Bianchi classes depending on real parameter h . They are $\text{Bia}(\text{VI}_h)$ and $\text{Bia}(\text{VII}_h)$. Actually, these two classes are families of manifolds whose properties are functions of h . The classes regarding F corresponding to $\text{Bia}(\text{VI}_h)$, $h < 0$ and $\text{Bia}(\text{VII}_h)$, $h > 0$, according to Theorem 4.1, can not be restricted for special values of h .

In this section an object of special interest are the curvature properties of these manifolds in relation with h .

Having in mind Proposition 3.1, it is reasonable to investigate all three subtypes of the Bianchi classes $\text{Bia}(\text{VI}_h)$, $h \leq 0$ and $\text{Bia}(\text{VII}_h)$, $h \geq 0$.

5.1. $\text{Bia}(\text{VI}_h)$, $h \leq 0$.

Let us consider the subtype (1) of this Bianchi class as it is given in Proposition 3.1:

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_1 - he_2, \quad [e_3, e_1] = he_1 - e_2.$$

The nonzero components of ∇ for $\text{Bia}(\text{VI}_h)$ are calculated:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= he_3, & \nabla_{e_1} e_3 &= -he_1, & \nabla_{e_2} e_2 &= -he_3, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_3 &= -he_2, & \nabla_{e_3} e_1 &= -e_2, & \nabla_{e_3} e_2 &= -e_1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Using (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (5.1), we obtain the square norm of $\nabla\varphi$ as follows

$$\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 = 4(2 - h^2). \quad (5.2)$$

Further, there are computed the basic components $R_{ijkl} = R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l)$ of the curvature tensor R , $\rho_{jk} = \rho(e_j, e_k)$ of the Ricci tensor ρ , $\rho_{jk}^* = \rho^*(e_j, e_k)$ of the associated Ricci tensor ρ^* , the values of the scalar curvatures τ and τ^* and of the sectional curvatures $k_{ij} = k(e_i, e_j)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1212} &= -R_{1313} = R_{2323} = -h^2; \\ \rho_{11} &= -\rho_{22} = \rho_{33} = -2h^2, & \rho_{12}^* &= \rho_{21}^* = -h^2; \\ \tau &= -6h^2, & \tau^* &= 0; \\ k_{12} &= k_{13} = k_{23} = -h^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Using the latter equalities we can conclude the following

Proposition 5.1. In the case $\text{Bia}(\text{VI}_h)$, subtype (1), the following statements are valid:

1. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is flat if and only if $h = 0$;
2. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an isotropic-cosymplectic B-metric manifold if and only if $h = -\sqrt{2}$;

3. The scalar curvature and the sectional curvatures are constant and non-positive;
4. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is $*$ -scalar flat, i.e. $\tau^* = 0$;
5. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an Einstein manifold.

By similar way we obtain the corresponding results to (5.2) and (5.3) for the rest cases. For the subtype (2) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 &= 2(1 - 5h^2); \\ R_{1212} &= -R_{1313} = R_{2323} = -h^2; \\ \rho_{11} = -\rho_{22} = \rho_{33} &= -2h^2, \quad \rho_{12}^* = \rho_{21}^* = -h^2; \\ \tau &= -6h^2, \quad \tau^* = 0; \\ k_{12} = k_{13} = k_{23} &= -h^2.\end{aligned}$$

These results imply the following

Proposition 5.2. In the case $\text{Bia}(\text{VI}_h)$, subtype (2), the following statements are valid:

1. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is flat if and only if $h = 0$;
2. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an isotropic-cosymplectic B-metric manifold if and only if $h = -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}$;
3. The scalar curvature and the sectional curvatures are constant and non-positive;
4. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is $*$ -scalar flat;
5. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an Einstein manifold.

In the case of subtype (3) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 &= 10(h^2 + 1); \\ R_{1212} = R_{2323} &= h^2 + 1, \quad R_{1313} = 1 - h^2, \quad R_{1223} = 2h; \\ \rho_{11} = \rho_{33} &= 2h^2, \quad \rho_{13} = \rho_{31} = -2h, \quad \rho_{22} = -2(h^2 + 1); \\ \rho_{12}^* = \rho_{21}^* &= h^2 + 1, \quad \rho_{23}^* = \rho_{32}^* = -2h; \\ \tau &= 2(3h^2 + 1), \quad \tau^* = 0; \\ k_{12} = k_{23} &= h^2 + 1, \quad k_{13} = h^2 - 1.\end{aligned}$$

The latter equalities imply the following

Proposition 5.3. In the case $\text{Bia}(\text{VI}_h)$, subtype (3), the following statements are valid:

1. The square norm of $\nabla\varphi$ and the scalar curvature are positive;
2. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is $*$ -scalar flat;
3. The sectional curvatures of the φ -holomorphic sections are constant and positive.

5.2. $\text{Bia}(\text{VII}_h)$, $h \geq 0$.

In this subsection we focus our investigations on the three subtypes of $\text{Bia}(\text{VII}_h)$. Firstly, let us consider the subtype (1). By similar way as the previous subsection, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 &= 4(1 - h^2); \\ R_{1212} &= -(h^2 + 1), \quad R_{1313} = -R_{2323} = h^2 - 1, \quad R_{1323} = -2h; \\ \rho_{11} &= -\rho_{22} = -2h^2, \quad \rho_{12} = \rho_{21} = 2h, \quad \rho_{33} = 2(1 - h^2); \\ \rho_{12}^* &= \rho_{21}^* = -(h^2 + 1), \quad \rho_{33}^* = 4h; \\ \tau &= 2(1 - 3h^2), \quad \tau^* = 4h; \\ k_{12} &= -(h^2 + 1), \quad k_{13} = k_{23} = 1 - h^2. \end{aligned}$$

These results imply the following

Proposition 5.4. In the case $\text{Bia}(\text{VII}_h)$, subtype (1), the following statements are valid:

1. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an isotropic-cosymplectic B-metric manifold if and only if $h = 1$;
2. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is scalar flat if and only if $h = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$;
3. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is $*$ -scalar flat if and only if $h = 0$;
4. The sectional curvatures of the φ -holomorphic sections are constant and negative;
5. The sectional curvatures of the ξ -sections are constant;
6. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an η -complex-Einstein manifold.

Analogously, we get the corresponding results for subtype (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 &= -10(h^2 - 1); \\ R_{1212} &= -R_{1313} = -(h^2 - 1), \quad R_{2323} = -(h^2 + 1), \quad R_{1213} = 2h; \\ \rho_{11} &= -2(h^2 - 1), \quad \rho_{22} = -\rho_{33} = 2h^2, \quad \rho_{23} = \rho_{32} = -2h; \\ \rho_{12}^* &= \rho_{21}^* = -(h^2 - 1), \quad \rho_{13}^* = \rho_{31}^* = 2h; \\ \tau &= -2(3h^2 - 1), \quad \tau^* = 0; \\ k_{12} &= k_{13} = -(h^2 - 1), \quad k_{23} = -(h^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

The latter equalities implies the following

Proposition 5.5. In the case $\text{Bia}(\text{VII}_h)$, subtype (2), the following statements are valid:

1. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an isotropic-cosymplectic B-metric manifold if and only if $h = 1$;
2. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is scalar flat if and only if $h = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$;
3. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is $*$ -scalar flat;
4. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is horizontal flat, i.e. $R|_H = 0$ for $H = \ker(\eta)$, if and only if $h = 1$;
5. ρ^* and \tilde{g} are proportional on H as $\rho^*|_H = (h^2 - 1)\tilde{g}|_H$;
6. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is horizontal $*$ -Ricci flat, i.e. $\rho^*|_H = 0$, if and only if $h = 1$.

For the case of the subtype (3) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 &= 2(5h^2 + 1); \\ R_{1212} &= -R_{1313} = R_{2323} = h^2; \\ \rho_{11} &= -\rho_{22} = \rho_{33} = 2h^2; \\ \rho_{12}^* &= \rho_{21}^* = h^2; \\ \tau &= 6h^2, \quad \tau^* = 0; \\ k_{12} &= k_{13} = k_{23} = h^2. \end{aligned}$$

We can conclude the following

Proposition 5.6. In the case $\text{Bia}(\text{VII}_h)$, subtype (3), the following statements are valid:

1. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is flat if and only if $h = 0$;
2. The square norm of $\nabla\varphi$ is positive;
3. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is $*$ -scalar flat;
4. The scalar curvature and the sectional curvatures are constant and non-negative;
5. $(L, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an Einstein manifold.

REFERENCES

1. Bianchi, L. Sugli spazi a tre dimensioni che ammettono un gruppo continuo di movimenti. *Memorie di Matematica e di Fisica della Societa Italiana delle Scienze, Serie Terza*, **11**, 1898, 267–352.

2. Bianchi, L. On the three-dimensional spaces which admit a continuous group of motions. *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, **33**, 2001, 2171–2253.
3. Blair, D. E. Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds. Progress in Mathematics, **203**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.
4. Fagundes, H. Closed spaces in cosmology. *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, **24**, 1992, 199–217.
5. Ganchev, G., Borisov, A. Note on the almost complex manifolds with a Norden metric. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, **39**, 1986, 31–34.
6. Ganchev, G., Mihova, V., Gribachev, K. Almost contact manifolds with B-metric. *Math. Balkanica (N.S.)*, **7**, (3-4), 1993, 261–276.
7. Gribachev, K., Mekerov, D., Djelepov, G. Generalized B-manifolds. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, **38**, 1985, 299–302.
8. Manev, H. On the structure tensors of almost contact B-metric manifolds. arXiv: 1405.3088.
9. Manev, H., Mekerov, D. Lie groups as 3-dimensional almost contact B-metric manifolds. *J. Geom.*, Online First (17 Sept 2014), DOI 10.1007/s00022-014-0244-0.
10. Manev, M. Natural connection with totally skew-symmetric torsion on almost contact manifolds with B-metric. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.*, **9**, (5), 2012, 1250044 (20 pages).
11. Manev, M., Nakova, G. Curvature properties of some three-dimensional almost contact B-metric manifolds. *Plovdiv Univ. Sci. Works – Math.*, **34**, (3), 2004, 51–60.
12. Nakova, G., Manev, M. Curvature properties on some three-dimensional almost contact manifolds with B-metric, II. In *Proc. 5th Internat. Conf. Geometry, Integrability & Quantization V*, eds. I. M. Mladenov and A. C. Hirshfeld, 2004, 169–177.
13. Thurston, W. Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1. Princeton University Press, 1997.

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences
 Faculty of Pharmacy
 Medical University of Plovdiv
 15-A blvd. Vasil Aprilov, BG-4002 Plovdiv
 BULGARIA
 and
 Department of Algebra and Geometry
 Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
 Paisii Hilendarski University of Plovdiv
 236 blvd. Bulgaria, BG-4027 Plovdiv
 BULGARIA
 e-mail: hmanev@uni-plovdiv.bg